

UNITED STATE JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | VATES OF | Washingto | n, D.C. 20231 | (|
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED | INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
| 09/181,671 | 10/29/98 | MEISEL | | P | PM256868 |
| | | HM22/0327 | ٦ [| EXAMINER | |
| PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO | | | | DAVIS,I | 3 |
| I P GROUP NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE N W WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1621 | 8 |
| WASHINGTON | pu ∠0005=3 | NIO . | | DATE MAILED: | 03/27/00 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/181,671

Applicant(s)

Meisel et al.

Office Action Summary Example 1

Examiner

Brian J. Davis

Group Art Unit

1621



| X Responsive to communication(s) filed on applicant's request for re | consideration received 2/16/00 (Paper No. 7) | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| X This action is FINAL. | | | | | | |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. | | | | | | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirit is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to resupplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). | pond within the period for response will cause the | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| X Claim(s) 1-3 and 16 | is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| Claims | are subject to restriction or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| \square See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi | ew, PTO-948. | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | | | | |
| ☑ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the p | priority documents have been | | | | | |
| received. | | | | | | |
| ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | | | | | | |
| received in this national stage application from the Intern | | | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und | | | | | | |
| | er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited, PTO-892Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO | LLOWING PAGES | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/181,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

103 Rejections Maintained

- 1. Claims 1-3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 4200259 and further in view of the *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, 4th ed., Vol 7, 1993, pp. 700-702 for the reasons set forth in paper No. 6.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/16/00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. The examiner respectfully suggests that applicants submit a declaration which contains a side-by-side comparison of the diffraction pattern of the prior art crystals with the three diffraction patterns of the instant claims. Following the reasoning of In re Grose and Flanigen, 201 USPQ 57, 1979, CCPA, if it can be persuasively shown that the diffraction patterns are indeed different and distinct, then the instant claims would be allowable, provided that the prior art does not enable the preparation of the instantly claimed forms.

Conclusion

- 4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 5. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

 MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

 MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

اسا ا

Art Unit: 1621

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Brian Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-7129. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 8:30 to 5:00.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached M-F from 8:30-5:00 at (703) 308-4532. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brian J. Davis

Assistant Examiner

1 3/24/00

Johann Richter

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600